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#### Abstract

In this note, we investigate the efficiency of the greedy algorithm for the classes of multivariate periodic functions with low mixed smoothness in $L_{q}$ with regard to the wavelet-type basis. We find that the order of greedy approximation in the case of low smoothness is different for some range of parameters.
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## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $T^{d}=[0,1]^{d}$ be the $d$-dimensional torus, and let $L_{q}:=L_{q}\left([0,1]^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant q<\infty$, be the Banach space of measurable functions $f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$, which is 1-periodic with respect to each variable. Its norm is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{q}:=\left(\int_{[0,1]^{d}}|f(x)|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

The aim of this note is to investigate the efficiency of the greedy algorithm for the classes of multivariate periodic functions with low mixed smoothness in $L_{q}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the set of dyadic intervals of $[0,1]$, each interval $I$ in $\mathcal{D}$ being of the form $I=\left[j 2^{-k},(j+1) 2^{-k}\right]$,

[^0]$k=0,1,2, \ldots, j=0,1, \ldots, 2^{k}-1$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}^{d}$ the set of all dyadic intervals of $[0,1]^{d}$, each $I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}$ being of the form $I=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{d}$ with $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d} \in \mathcal{D}$. Assume that a given system $\Phi=\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}}$ of functions $\phi_{I}$ indexed by dyadic intervals can be enumerated in such a way that $\left\{\phi_{I^{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a basis for $L_{q}(1 \leqslant q<\infty)$. Then we define the greedy algorithm $G_{m}^{q}(\cdot, \Phi)$ $(1 \leqslant q<\infty)$ as follows. Let
$$
f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{I^{j}}(f, \Phi) \phi_{I^{j}}, \quad c_{I}(f, q, \Phi):=\left\|c_{I}(f, \Phi) \phi_{I}\right\|_{q}
$$

Denote by $\Lambda_{m}$ the set of $m$ dyadic intervals such that

$$
\min _{I \in \Lambda_{m}} c_{I}(f, q, \Phi) \geqslant \sup _{J \notin \Lambda_{m}} c_{J}(f, q, \Phi) .
$$

The set $\Lambda_{m}$ may not be unique but if this happens we may take any of such sets. We define the greedy operator $G^{q}(\cdot, \Phi)$ by

$$
G_{m}^{q}(f):=G_{m}^{q}(f, \Phi):=\sum_{I \in \Lambda_{m}} c_{I}(f, \Phi) \phi_{I}
$$

The operator $G_{m}^{q}(\cdot, \Phi)$ is a non-linear and discontinuous operator (see $[1,9,10,13]$ ).
Let us recall the definition of the best $m$-term approximation. Denote by $M_{m}(\Phi)$ the set of all linear combinations of the form

$$
g=\sum_{I \in \Lambda_{m}} a_{I} \phi_{I}
$$

where $\Lambda_{m}$ is a set of $m$ dyadic intervals, $a_{I}$ are real numbers. For a function class $F \subset L_{q}$, we consider the quantity

$$
\sigma_{m}(F, \Phi)_{q}:=\sup _{f \in F} \sigma_{m}(f, \Phi)_{q}:=\sup _{f \in F} \inf _{g \in M_{m}(\Phi)}\|f-g\|_{q}
$$

We call the quantities $\sigma_{m}(f, \Phi)_{q}$ and $\sigma_{m}(F, \Phi)_{q}$ the best $m$-term approximation of $f$ and $F$ with regard to $\Phi$, respectively (see $[1,9,10,12,13]$ ).

For $e \subset e_{d}:=\{1,2, \ldots, d\}, r>0$, let $D^{r^{e}} f(x)=\left(\prod_{j \in e} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial x_{j}^{r}}\right) f(x)$ be the generalized derivative of $f$ in the sense of Weyl (see [6,7]). Then the Sobolev classes $M W_{p}^{r}$ of functions with mixed derivative are defined as follows:

$$
M W_{p}^{r}:=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left([0,1]^{d}\right) \mid\|f\|_{W_{p}^{r}}:=\sum_{e \subset e_{d}}\left\|D^{r^{e}} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty
$$

Let $r>0$, and let $l>r$ be a fixed positive integer. Then the Hölder-Nikolskii classes $M H_{p}^{r}$ of functions with mixed difference are defined in the following way (see [6,7]):

$$
M H_{p}^{r}:=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left([0,1]^{d}\right) \mid\|f\|_{H_{p}^{r}}:=\sum_{e \subset e_{d}} \sup _{t>0} \prod_{j \in e} t_{j}^{-r} \cdot\left\|\Delta_{t^{e}}^{l^{e}} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty
$$

where $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)>0$, (i.e., $t_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, d$ ), and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{t^{e}}^{l^{e}} f(x):=\left(\prod_{j \in e} \Delta_{t_{j}, j}^{l}\right) f(x) \\
& \Delta_{t_{j}, j}^{l} f(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-k}\binom{l}{k} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}+k t_{j}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $O$ the set of all orthogonal bases on $[0,1]^{d}$. For the above classes and the anisotropic classes, Temlyakov proved that the orthogonal basis $U^{d}$ formed from the integer traslates of the mixed dyadic scales of the tensor product multivariate Dirichlet kernel (or more generally, the wavelet-type basis $\Psi^{d}$, see the definition in Section 2) is optimal in the sense of order among all orthogonal systems for some range of parameters (see [9,10]). For example, for $1<p<\infty, r>$ $(1 / 2-1 / p)_{+}$, it was shown in [9] that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, O\right)_{2} & :=\inf _{D \in O} \sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, D\right)_{2} \asymp \sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{2}, \\
\sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, O\right)_{2} & :=\inf _{D \in O} \sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, D\right)_{2} \asymp \sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, Temlyakov proved that for all $1<q, p<\infty$, the orders of the best $m$-term approximations $\sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{q}$ and $\sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{q}$ can be achieved by the greedy algorithm $G^{q}\left(\cdot, U^{d}\right)$. For $1<p, q<\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}(p, q):= \begin{cases}\max (1 / p, 1 / 2)-1 / q, & q \geqslant 2 \\
(\max (2 / p, 2 / q)-1) / q, & q<2\end{cases} \\
& r_{2}(p, q):= \begin{cases}(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}, & q \geqslant 2 \\
(\max (2 / p, 2 / q)-1) / q, & q<2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Temlyakov obtained the following results (see [9]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{q} \asymp \sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, U^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \asymp m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1) r}, \\
& \quad \text { if } r>r_{1}(p, q),  \tag{1.1}\\
& \sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, U^{d}\right)_{q} \asymp \sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, U^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \asymp m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)(r+1 / 2)}, \\
& \quad \text { if } r>r_{2}(p, q), \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{+}:=\max \{a, 0\} ; A \asymp B$ means that $A \ll B$ and $B \ll A$; and $A \ll B$ means that there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $A \leqslant c B$.

However, for the wavelet-type basis $\Psi^{d}$, the greedy algorithm $G_{m}^{q}\left(\cdot, \Psi^{d}\right)$ does not provide asymptotically optimal error for the best $m$-term approximation, since the following result holds (see [8,13]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in L_{q}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} / \sigma_{m}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q} \asymp\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)|1 / 2-1 / q|}(1<q<\infty) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above formula (1.3) shows that using the greedy algorithm $G_{m}^{q}\left(\cdot, \Psi^{d}\right)$ we lost near-best accuracy for some functions $f \in L_{q}, q \neq 2$, while (1.1), and (1.2) indicate that for all $1<$ $q, p<\infty$ and big enough $r$, the orders of the best $m$-term approximations $\sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}$ and
$\sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}$ can be achieved by the greedy algorithm $G_{m}^{q}\left(\cdot, \Psi^{d}\right)$. How about the efficiency of the greedy algorithm for the classes $M W_{p}^{r}, M H_{p}^{r}$ without sufficiently large $r$ ? For the Sobolev classes $M W_{p}^{r}$, the case $1<p \leqslant 2 \leqslant q<\infty$ has been studied in [9] for all $r>1 / p-1 / q$. In the case $1<p<\infty, 1<q<2$ we can extend the results of [9] to the case of low smoothness (the order is the same). The most interesting case is $2<q, p<\infty$. Here we prove that the results from [9], concerning the greedy algorithm, cannot be extended in their form to the low smoothness case. We discover a new phenomenon: the order of greedy approximation in the case of low smoothness is different. This phenomenon is known in the case of Kolmogorov's widths (see $[4,5]$ ). For the Hölder-Nikolskii classes, we also obtain the upper estimates in the case of low smoothness. Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1. Let $1<p, q<\infty$. Then for $(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}<r \leqslant 1 / 2-1 / q, p>2, q>2$, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \asymp m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{\frac{(d-1) r}{2(r+1 / q)}}
$$

and for $(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}<r \leqslant(\max (2 / p, 2 / q)-1) / q, q<2$, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \asymp m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1) r} .
$$

Theorem 2. Let $1<p<\infty, 1<q<2$, and $r>(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}$. Thenfor $r<(2 / p-1) / q, p<$ 2, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \ll m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p q(r+1 / q)}+r\right)}
$$

for $r=(2 / p-1) / q, p<2$, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \ll m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)(r+1 / 2)} \log _{2}\left(\log _{2} m\right)
$$

and for $p>q,(2 / p-1)_{+} / q<r \leqslant(2 / q-1) / q$, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \ll m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)(r+1 / 2)}
$$

Remark 1.1. The lower estimate corresponding to the third upper estimate in Theorem 2 follows from [9] (see [9] or Lemma 2.1 in Section 2).

Remark 1.2. We do not know the exact orders of $\sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}$ for $2<p, q<\infty,(1 / p-$ $1 / q)_{+}<r \leqslant 1 / 2-1 / q$ and $\sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}, \sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}$ for $1<p, q<$ $2,(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}<r \leqslant(2 / p-1) / q$. It is an open problem.

Remark 1.3. For $1<p, q<\infty, r>(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}$, from the above upper estimates and the known lower estimates of the best $m$-term approximation (see [9] or Lemma 2.1 in Section 2),
we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leqslant \frac{\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}}{\sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}} \\
& \ll \begin{cases}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1) \frac{r(1 / 2-r-1 / q)}{r+1 / q}}, q>2, r<1 / 2-1 / q, \\
1, & q \leqslant 2 \text { or } q>2, r \geqslant(1 / 2-1 / q)_{+}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leqslant \frac{\sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}}{\sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q}} \\
& \ll \begin{cases}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1) \frac{2-p-p q r}{2 p q(r+1 / q)},}, & p, q<2, r<(2 / p-1) / q \\
\log _{2}\left(\log _{2} m\right), & p, q<2, r=(2 / p-1) / q \\
1, & q \geqslant 2 \text { or } q<2, r>(2 / p-1)_{+} / q\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Wavelet-type system and lower estimates

First, we discuss the wavelet-type system $\Psi^{d}=\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, s \geqslant 0$ (i.e., $s_{j} \geqslant 0$, $j=1, \ldots, d$, we write $\rho(s):=\left\{I=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{d} \in \mathcal{D}^{d}| | I_{j} \mid=2^{-s_{j}}, j=1,2, \ldots, d\right\}$, where $\left|I_{j}\right|$ denotes the length of the interval $I_{j}$. It is easy to see that $\# \rho(s)=2^{|s|}$, where $\# \rho(s)$ denotes the number of intervals in $\rho(s),|s|:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{d}$.

We suppose the system $\Psi^{d}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) $\Psi^{d}$ is a basis of the space $L_{p}\left([0,1]^{d}\right)(1<p<\infty)$, that is, for each $f \in L_{p}\left([0,1]^{d}\right), f$ has a unique representation

$$
f=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}} f_{I} \psi_{I}=\sum_{s \geqslant 0} \delta_{s} f ; \quad \delta_{s} f:=\sum_{I \in \rho(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}, f_{I}:=c_{I}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)
$$

and the sum converges in $L_{p}$. Furthermore, the system $\Psi^{d}$ is $L_{p}$-equivalent to the Haar system $\mathcal{H}^{d}(1<p<\infty)$. Let $H(t)= \begin{cases}1, & t \in[0,1 / 2), \\ -1, & t \in[1 / 2,1), \quad h_{I}(t)=2^{n / 2} H\left(2^{n} t-k\right), \text { for a } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$ dyadic interval $I=\left[k 2^{-n},(k+1) 2^{-n}\right] \in \mathcal{D}$ and $h_{[0,1]}(t)=1$. For $I=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{d} \in \mathcal{D}^{d}$, we set

$$
h_{I}(x)=h_{I_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots h_{I_{d}}\left(x_{d}\right), x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

We say that the system $\Psi^{d}$ is $L_{p}$-equivalent to the Haar system $\mathcal{H}^{d}:=\left\{h_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}}$ if for any finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{D}^{d}$ and for any coefficients $c_{I}$, we have (see [2])

$$
\left\|\sum_{I \in \Lambda} c_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{p} \asymp\left\|\sum_{I \in \Lambda} c_{I} h_{I}\right\|_{p}
$$

Applying the methods in [2] or [11], we know that the system $\Psi^{d}$ satisfies the LittlewoodPaley inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}}\left|f_{I} h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{p} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{s \geqslant 0}\left|\delta_{s} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{p} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For any $I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}, 1<q, p<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{2} \asymp 1, \quad\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{p} \asymp|I|^{1 / p-1 / 2}, \quad\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{p} \asymp\|\psi\|_{q} \cdot|I|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) For $f \in L_{p}\left([0,1]^{d}\right), 1<p<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|\sum_{I \in \rho(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{p}^{p} \asymp \sum_{I \in \rho(s)}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) For $1<p<\infty, r>0$, we have the following representation theorems of functions with mixed smoothness by $\Psi^{d}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}}|I|^{-2 r}\left|f_{I} h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{p} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{s \geqslant 0} 2^{2 r|s|}\left|\delta_{s} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \|f\|_{H_{p}^{r}} \asymp \sup _{s \geqslant 0} 2^{r|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We say that $\Psi^{d}$ is a wavelet-type basis, if the system $\Psi^{d}$ satisfies the above conditions, From [9,3,12], we know that the basis $U^{d}$, the basis $V$ formed from the integer translates of the mixed dyadic scales of the tensor product multivariate de la Valleé Poussin kernel, and the multivariate tensor product periodic wavelet basis $W^{d}$ with $\infty$-regular univariate wavelet, all they are examples of wavelet-type bases. From [9] we know the following

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $1<p, q<\infty$, and $r>(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{m}\left(M W_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q} \gg m^{-r}(\log m)^{(d-1) r} \\
& \sigma_{m}\left(M H_{p}^{r}, \Psi^{d}\right)_{q} \gg m^{-r}(\log m)^{(d-1)(r+1 / 2)} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $2<q<\infty$, and $(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}<r \leqslant 1 / 2-1 / q$. Then

$$
\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q} \gg m^{-r}\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{\frac{(d-1) r}{2(r+1 / q)}}
$$

Proof. Choose two positive integers $J$ and $l$ such that $m \asymp 2^{J} J^{d-1}, 2^{J+l} \leqslant m$ and $2^{l} \asymp$ $\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1)}$. Choose $s_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, s_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that $\left|s_{0}\right|=J+l$. Let $A:=\left\{I:|I|=2^{-J+l^{\prime}}\right\}, B:=$ $\rho\left(s_{0}\right)$, where $2^{l^{\prime}} \asymp\left(\log _{2} m\right)^{(d-1) \frac{1 / 2-(r+1 / q)}{r+1 / q}}$. Then $\# B=2^{J+l} \leqslant m$. Let $g_{A q}=\sum_{I \in A}|I|^{1 / 2-1 / q} \psi_{I}$,
$g_{B q}=\sum_{I \in B}|I|^{1 / 2-1 / q} \psi_{I}$. From (2.2) we know that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $f_{0}-G_{m}^{q}\left(f_{0}, \Psi^{d}\right)=g_{A q}$, where $f_{0}=g_{A q}+c g_{B q}$. Then by (2.1) and (2.4) we have

$$
\left\|g_{A q}\right\|_{q} \asymp 2^{\left(J-l^{\prime}\right)(1 / q-1 / 2)}\left\|\left(\sum_{\|s\|_{1}=J-l^{\prime}} \sum_{I \in \rho(s)}\left|h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{q} \asymp 2^{\left(J-l^{\prime}\right) / q} J^{(d-1) / 2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|g_{A q}\right\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \asymp 2^{(r+1 / q)\left(J-l^{\prime}\right)} J^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|g_{B q}\right\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \asymp 2^{(J+l)(1 / q-1 / 2)} 2^{r(J+l)}\left(\sum_{I \in B}\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \asymp 2^{(J+l)(r+1 / q)},
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \asymp 2^{(r+1 / q)\left(J-l^{\prime}\right)} J^{\frac{d-1}{2}}+2^{(J+l)(r+1 / q)} \asymp 2^{(r+1 / q)\left(J-l^{\prime}\right)} J^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \gtrdot\left\|g_{A q}\right\|_{q} /\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \\
& \asymp 2^{\left(J-l^{\prime}\right) / q} J^{\frac{d-1}{2}} 2^{-(r+1 / q)\left(J-l^{\prime}\right)} J^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \asymp 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) \frac{r(1 / 2-(r+1 / q))}{r+1 / q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2 is proved.
Remark 2.1. In the proof of Lemma 2.2, it is essential that the basis is equivalent to the tensor product Haar basis. Here properties (2.2)-(2.5) (with exception of the middle part in (2.4)) would be not sufficient for the given estimate. Also the example used in this proof does not improve (2.6).

## 3. Upper estimates

For $f \in L_{q}\left([0,1]^{d}\right), 1<q<\infty$, we have

$$
f=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{d}} f_{I} \psi_{I}=\sum_{s \geqslant 0} \delta_{s} f, \quad G_{m}^{q}(f)=G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)=\sum_{I \in \Lambda_{m}} f_{I} \psi_{I}
$$

where $\Lambda_{m}$ is a set of $m$ dyadic intervals satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q}:=V_{q}(f):=\min _{I \in \Lambda_{m}}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q} \geqslant \sup _{J \notin \Lambda_{m}}\left\|f_{J} \psi_{J}\right\|_{q} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, s \geqslant 0$, we set

$$
\rho^{\prime}(s):=\Lambda_{m} \cap \rho(s), \quad \rho^{\prime \prime}(s):=\rho(s) \backslash \rho^{\prime}(s)
$$

Choose a positive integer $J$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \geqslant 2 \#\left(\cup_{|s| \leqslant J} \rho(s)\right), \quad m \asymp 2^{J} J^{d-1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q}=\left\|\sum_{s \geqslant 0} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q} \ll \sum_{n \geqslant 0}\left\|\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q}=: \sum_{n \geqslant 0} T_{n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $1<p<\infty$. Then for any $f \in M W_{p}^{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{s \geqslant 0} 2^{r p_{l}|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{p}^{p_{p}}\right)^{1 / p_{l}} \ll\|f\|_{W_{p}^{r}} \ll\left(\sum_{s \geqslant 0} 2^{r p_{u}|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{p}^{p_{u}}\right)^{1 / p_{u}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{l}:=\max (2, p) ; p_{u}:=\min (2, p)$.
The proof of the case $r=0$ (where $\|f\|_{W_{p}^{0}}=\|f\|_{p}$ ) is given in [6], the proof of the case $r>0$ is similar, we omit it.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $f \in M W_{t}^{r}$, and $r \geqslant 1 / t-1 / q$. Then we have

$$
V_{q}=V_{q}(f) \ll \begin{cases}2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-\frac{d-1}{t}}, & 2 \leqslant t \leqslant q<\infty  \tag{3.5}\\ 2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, & 1<t \leqslant q<2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $t<q, f \in M W_{t}^{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\#\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right) \cdot V_{q}^{t} & \leqslant \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime}(s)}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q}^{t} \ll \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime}(s)} 2^{(1 / t-1 / q) t|s|} \cdot\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{t}^{t} \\
& <2^{(1 / t-1 / q) t|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{t}^{t} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
m \cdot V_{q}^{t}=\sum_{s} \#\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right) \cdot V_{q}^{t} \leqslant V_{q}^{t} \cdot \#\left(\bigcup_{|s| \leqslant J} \rho(s)\right)+V_{q}^{t} \cdot \#\left(\bigcup_{|s|>J} \rho^{\prime}(s)\right)
$$

for $r \geqslant 1 / t-1 / q, t \geqslant 2$, by (3.2) and (3.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{J} J^{d-1} \cdot V_{q}^{t} \ll V_{q}^{t} \cdot \#\left(\cup_{|s|>J} \rho^{\prime}(s)\right) \ll \sum_{|s|>J} 2^{(1 / t-1 / q) t|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{t}^{t} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r \geqslant 1 / t-1 / q, t \geqslant 2$, by (3.4) we have

$$
2^{J} J^{d-1} \cdot V_{q}^{t} \ll 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q) J}\left(\sum_{|s|>J} 2^{r t n}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{t}^{t}\right) \ll 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q) J} .
$$

And for $1<t \leqslant q<2$, by (3.7) and (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{J} J^{d-1} \cdot V_{q}^{t} & \ll\left(\sum_{|s|>J} 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q)|s| t \cdot \frac{2}{2-t}}\right)^{\frac{2-t}{2}} \cdot\left(\sum_{|s|>n} 2^{2 r|s|}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{t}^{2}\right)^{t / 2} \\
& \ll 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q) t J} J^{(d-1)(2-t) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.5) holds. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.

Applying the same methods as in Lemma 3.2, we can get
Lemma 3.3. Let $1<t, q<\infty, q<2, f \in M H_{t}^{r}$, and $r>(1 / t-1 / q)_{+}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q}=V_{q}(f) \ll 2^{-(r+1 / q) J} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. The case $1<t \leqslant q<2$ in Lemma 3.2 follows from [9, Lemma 3.1], Lemma 3.3 follows from [9, Lemma 2.1]. In general, the technique for upper estimates here is a refinement of the technique from [9].

Lemma 3.4. Let $1<t \leqslant q<\infty$. Then for $f \in M W_{t}^{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}:=\left\|\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q) t n / q} n^{(d-1) \frac{q-t}{2 q}} V_{q}^{1-t / q} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2.1) and (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left|f_{I} h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{q} ; \\
& \|f\|_{W_{t}^{r}} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 0} 2^{2 r n} \sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho(s)}\left|f_{I} h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{t} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{q / 2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} 2^{-2 r t n / q} \cdot 2^{2 r t n / q}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{q / 2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} 2^{2 r n}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{t / 2}\left(\sum_{|s|=n} 2^{-\frac{2 r t n}{q-t}} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{(q-t) / 2} \\
& \ll\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} 2^{2 r n}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{t / 2}\left(\sum_{|s|=n} 2^{-\frac{2 r t n}{q-t}} 2^{-2(1 / 2-1 / q) n} 2^{n} V_{q}^{2}\right)^{(q-t) / 2} \\
& \ll 2^{-(r-1 / t+1 / q) t n} n^{(d-1)(q-t) / 2} V_{q}^{q-t}\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} 2^{2 r n}\left|f_{I} h_{I}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{t / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.10) we get (3.9). Lemma 3.4 is proved.

Lemma 3.5. Let $1<q, p \leqslant t \leqslant 2<\infty$. Then for $f \in M H_{p}^{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}:=\left\|\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-(r p-(t-p) / q) n / t} n^{(d-1) / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the similar methods as in Lemma 3.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n} & :=\left\|\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q} \ll\left\|\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{t} \ll\left(\sum_{|s|=n}\left\|\sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)} f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{t}^{t}\right)^{1 / t} \\
& \ll\left(\sum_{|s|=n} 2^{\operatorname{tn}(1 / q-1 / t)} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q}^{t}\right)^{1 / t} \\
& \ll\left(\sum_{|s|=n} 2^{\operatorname{tn}(1 / q-1 / t)} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{q}^{p} \cdot V_{q}^{t-p}\right)^{1 / t} \\
& \ll\left(\sum_{|s|=n} 2^{\operatorname{tn}(1 / q-1 / t)} 2^{p n(1 / p-1 / q)} \sum_{I \in \rho(s)}\left\|f_{I} \psi_{I}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} \\
& \ll 2^{\frac{(t-p) n}{t q}}\left(\sum_{|s|=n}\left\|\delta_{s} f\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} \\
& \ll 2^{-(r p-(t-p) / q) n / t} n^{(d-1) / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $2<p \leqslant q<\infty$, and $f \in M W_{p}^{r}$. Then for $1 / p-1 / q<r<1 / 2-1 / q$, we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) \frac{r(1 / 2-(r+1 / q))}{r+1 / q}}
$$

Proof. Let $l=\left[u(d-1) \log _{2} J\right], u=\frac{1 / 2-(r+1 / q)}{r+1 / q}$. By (3.3) we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant J-l} T_{n}+\sum_{n>J-l} T_{n}=: T^{\prime}+T^{\prime \prime}
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 with $1 / t=r+1 / q, 2<t<p$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q} \ll 2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-(d-1)(r+1 / q)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.9) with $t=2$ and $p$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & :=\sum_{n \leqslant J-l} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n \leqslant J-l} 2^{-(r-1 / 2+1 / q) 2 n / q} n^{(d-1) \frac{q-2}{2 q}} V_{q}^{1-2 / q} \\
& \ll 2^{-2(r-1 / 2+1 / q)(J-l) / q} J^{(d-1) \frac{q-2}{2 q}}\left(2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-(d-1)(r+1 / q)}\right)^{1-2 / q} \\
& \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) \frac{r(1 / 2-(r+1 / q))}{r+1 / q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime \prime} & :=\sum_{n>J-l} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n>J-l} 2^{-(r-1 / p+1 / q) p n / q} n^{(d-1) \frac{q-p}{2 q}}\left(2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-(d-1)(r+1 / q)}\right)^{1-p / q} \\
& \ll 2^{-r J} 2^{(r-1 / p+1 / q) p l / q} J^{(d-1)(1 / 2-r-1 / q)(1-p / q)} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) \frac{r(1 / 2-(r+1 / q))}{r+1 / q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.6 is proved.
Lemma 3.7. Suppose that $f \in M W_{p}^{r}, 1<p \leqslant q<2,1 / p-1 / q<r \leqslant(2 / p-1) / q$ or $2<p \leqslant q<\infty, r=1 / 2-1 / q$. Then we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} .
$$

Proof. By (3.3) we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant J} T_{n}+\sum_{n>J} T_{n}=: T 1+T 2
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 with $t=\min (p, 2)$, we get

$$
V_{q} \ll 2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-(d-1) / 2}
$$

Applying the Littlewood-Paley inequality (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T 1 & :=\sum_{n \leqslant J} T_{n} \asymp \sum_{n \leqslant J}\left\|\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho^{\prime \prime}(s)}\left|f_{I} h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{q} \\
& \ll \sum_{n \leqslant J}\left\|\left(\sum_{|s|=n} \sum_{I \in \rho(s)} 2^{-2(1 / 2-1 / q) n} V_{q}^{2}\left|h_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{q} \\
& \ll \sum_{n \leqslant J} 2^{n / q} n^{(d-1) / 2} V_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9) and (3.5) (or (3.12)), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T 2: & =\sum_{n>J} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n>J} 2^{-(r-1 / p+1 / q) p n / q} n^{(d-1) \frac{q-p}{2 q}} V_{q}^{1-p / q} \\
& \ll 2^{-(r-1 / p+1 / q) p J / q} J^{(d-1) \frac{q-p}{2 q}}\left(2^{-(r+1 / q) J} J^{-(d-1) / 2}\right)^{1-p / q} \ll 2^{-r J} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.7 is proved.
Lemma 3.8. Suppose that $1<q<2, f \in M H_{p}^{r}$, and $(1 / p-1 / q)_{+}<r<(2 / p-1) / q$. Then we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{\frac{d-1}{p q(r+1 / q)}} .
$$

Proof. Let $l=\left[u(d-1) \log _{2} J\right], u=\frac{1}{p(r+1 / q)}$. By (3.3) we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant J+l} T_{n}+\sum_{n>J+l} T_{n}=: T^{\prime}+T^{\prime \prime}
$$

Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 with $t=2$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & :=\sum_{n \leqslant J+l} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n \leqslant J+l} 2^{-(r p-(2-p) / q) n / 2} n^{(d-1) / 2} V_{q}^{1-p / 2} \\
& \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} 2^{-l(r p-(2-p) / q) / 2} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{\frac{d-1}{p q(r+1 / q)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.5 with $t=\max (p, q)$, we obtain

$$
T^{\prime \prime}:=\sum_{n>J+l} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n>J+l} 2^{-(r p-(t-p) / q) n / t} n^{(d-1) / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{\frac{d-1}{p q(r+1 / q)}} .
$$

Lemma 3.8 is proved.
Lemma 3.9. Suppose that $1<p, q<2, f \in M H_{p}^{r}$, and $r=(2 / p-1) / q$. Then we have $\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} \log _{2} J$.
Proof. Let $l=\left[u(d-1) \log _{2} J\right], u=q / 2$. By (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} & \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant J} T_{n}+\sum_{n>J} T_{n}=: T 1+T 2=T 1+\sum_{J<n \leqslant J+l} T_{n}+\sum_{n>J+l} T_{n} \\
& =: T 1+T 3+T 4 . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.13) and Lemma 3.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T 1:=\sum_{n \leqslant J} T_{n} \ll \sum_{n \leqslant J} 2^{n / q} n^{(d-1) / 2} V_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 3.5 with $t=\max (p, q)$, we obtain

$$
T 4 \ll \sum_{n>J+l} 2^{-(r p-(t-p) / q) n / t} n^{(d-1) / t} V_{q}^{1-p / t} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} .
$$

Using Lemma 3.5 with $t=2$ again, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T 3 & \ll \sum_{J<n \leqslant J+l} 2^{-(r p-(2-p) / q) n / 2} n^{(d-1) / 2} V_{q}^{1-p / 2} \ll l \cdot 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} \\
& \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} \log _{2} J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.9 is proved.
Lemma 3.10. Suppose that $1<q<2, p>q, f \in M H_{p}^{r}$, and $(2 / p-1)_{+} / q<r \leqslant(2 / q-1) / q$. Then we have

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2}
$$

Proof. Applying (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$
\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2}+T 2 .
$$

Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 with $t=2$, we obtain

$$
T 2 \ll \sum_{n>J} 2^{-(r p-(2-p) / q) n / 2} n^{(d-1) / 2} V_{q}^{1-p / 2} \ll 2^{-r J} J^{(d-1) / 2} .
$$

Lemma 3.10 is proved.

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. The lower estimates of the quantities $\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}$ are given in Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2; the upper estimates of the quantities $\sup _{f \in M W_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}$ and $\sup _{f \in M H_{p}^{r}}\left\|f-G_{m}^{q}\left(f, \Psi^{d}\right)\right\|_{q}$ are given in Lemmas 3.6-3.10. Theorems 1 and 2 are proved.
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